UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,539	08/09/2007	Shuichi Fujii	81872.0127	1506
26021 Hogan Lovells	7590 10/21/201 US LLP	EXAMINER		
1999 AVENUE	OF THE STARS	BOURKE, ALLISON		
SUITE 1400 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/21/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LAUSPTO@hhlaw.com robert.gruwell@hoganlovells.com dcptopatent@hoganlovells.com

1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 August 2011. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on	Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
ALISON BOURKE - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - IN Depicts for reply is goodfed above, the nearmon statutory period will apply and will copy is byte between different period to reply and will be above the reply is goodfed above, the nearmon statutory period will apply and will copy 63 (6) MONTHS from the realising date of this communication. - IN Depicts for reply is goodfed above, the nearmon statutory period will apply and will copy 63 (6) MONTHS from the realising date of this communication. - IN Depicts for reply is goodfed above, the nearmon statutory period will apply and will copy 63 (6) MONTHS from the realising date of this communication. - In the priod of the communication of the communication of the communication and the period period of the communication. - In the period to a state of the communication of the communication and the period of the communication and the period period the communication. - In the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. - In the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. - In the replication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 C.G. 213. - Disposition of Claims - Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 C.G. 213. - Disposition of Claims - Since this application is an analysis of the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 C.G. 213. - Disposition of Claims - Since this above claim(s) 14 And 17-20 is/are rejected. - Claim(s) 1-3-5-6-10-13.14 and 17-20 is/are reject			10/599,539	FUJII ET AL.				
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHIGHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sentence of the map be available under the previous of 37 CFR 1.1360, in covert, however, may rargh be firmer fired 1. **Communication and the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1360, in covert, however, may rargh be firmery fired 1. **Communication and the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1360, in covert, however, may rargh be firmery fired 1. **Communication and the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1360, in covert, however, may rargh be firmery fired 1. **Communication and the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1360, in covert, however, may rargh be firmery fired. 1. **Communication and the provisions of the communication and the provisions of the provisi			Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - and SEX 59, MONTHS for other realing date of the communication (5) 1500, in or sensit, beavers, may a raply be timely liked. - the sensitive of reply is specified above, the maximum shallow prior will happy and will expire 30% (MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Talue to reply writin the set or extended prior for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABRANDONES (20 U.S. 9, 133); estimate them sequentered. Sen 37 GFR 1.704(b). - Talue to reply writin the set or extended prior for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABRANDONES (20 U.S. 9, 133); estimate them sequentered. Sen 37 GFR 1.704(b). - Talue to reply writin the set of communication (5) filled on 15 August 2011. - Talue to reply writin the set of communication. - Talue to reply writin the set of communication. - Talue to reply writin the set of communication. - Talue to reply writin the set of communication. - Talue to reply writin the set of communication. - Talue to reply writin the set of communication. - Talue to reply writin the set of communication. - Talue to reply writin the set of communication. - Talue to reply writin the set of communication. - Talue to reply writin the set of communication. - Talue to reply writin the set of communication. - Talue to reply writin the set of communication. - Talue to reply writin the replication as to the merits is colosed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. - Disposition of Claims - Silve Talue above claim(s) 11 and 17-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. - Sal Of the above claim(s) 11 and 17-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. - Claim(s) 13-56.8-10.13.2-12.2 is/are rejected. - Claim(s) 13-56.8-10.13.2-12.2 is/are rejected. - Claim(s) 13-56.8-10.13.2-12.2 is/are rejected. - Talue training 13-56.8-10.13.2 is/are allowed. - Ta			ALLISON BOURKE	1725				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNATION. Editations of them may be available under be provision of 37 GPH 1.1300, into event, however, may anely be timely litted after SMX (b) MOSITHS from the maining date of this communication. In the second provision of the communication of t			ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 August 2011. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on	WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. the mailing date of this composition (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3.5.6.8-10.13.14 and 17-26 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) 14 and 17-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) ☐ Claim(s)	Status							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3.5.6.8-10.13.14 and 17-26 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) 14 and 17-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * O ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application from the International	1) ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Au	ugust 2011					
An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5) Claim(s)	′=	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	'=	/ 		set forth durina th	e interview on			
4	-/-		·					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5)	4)							
Disposition of Claims 5 Claim(s) 1-3.5.6.8-10.13.14 and 17-26 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) 14 and 17-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6 Claim(s)	/—	• •						
Signature of the above claim(s) 1-3.5.6.8-10.13.14 and 17-26 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) 14 and 17-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6	.	·						
5a) Of the above claim(s) 14 and 17-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 10) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10 □ Notice of Peferences Cited (PTO-892) 21 □ Notice of Peferences Cited (PTO-892) 22 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application 50 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Dispositi	on of Claims						
6	·							
7) Claim(s) 1-3, 5-6, 8-10, 13, 21-26 is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.		5a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14 and 17-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	•							
Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some ol None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 30 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 50 Notice of Informal Patent Application		7) Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5-6, 8-10, 13, 21-26</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 21 Notice of Informal Patent Application	•							
10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 21 Notice of Informal Patent Application	9)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10	Applicati	on Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)								
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P7	ГО-152.			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	_		priority under do die.d. 3 1 (da)	(4) 0. (1).				
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * Notice of Informal Patent Application	۵,۱		s have been received					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		<u> </u>		on No.				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) Outline Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Outline Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application		= ' ' '	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application	2004			(870.448)				
3) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/30/2011, 8/12/2011</u> . 6) Uther:	3) \overline Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Patent and Trademark Office			6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/599,539 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed August 15, 2011 does not place the application in condition for allowance.

Remarks

2. Claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-10, 13-14, 17-26 are pending in the application, while claims 14, 17-20 are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 26 recites the limitation "the center of the substrate" and "the center line of the electrodes" and "the center lines of the areas". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim and it is unclear what these terms are referring to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-10, 13, 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujii et al. (US 2003/0178057) in view of Hanoka et al. (US 5476553) and Fukawa et al. (US 2004/0200522).

Art Unit: 1725

Regarding claims 1, 3, 5-6, 8, 10, 13, 21-26, Fujii discloses Fujii discloses a solar cell (Fig. 1) with a surface electrode (4) on an opposite conductivity-type diffusion layer (1a) part of the semiconductor substrate having a sheet resistance of $60\Omega/\Box$ - $300\Omega/\Box$ [0027] and a back surface electrode (3) that is the an identical arrangement to the surface electrode on the light receiving side (Fig. 7). Fujii additionally discloses the substrate having microscopic protrusions and recesses on the surface of the semiconductor substrate so as to introduce as much light incident on the solar cell as possible into the semiconductor substrate, and to trap as much light introduced into the semiconductor substrate as possible within the semiconductor substrate [0007]. Fujii also discloses the protrusions having widths and heights of 2 µm or less [0057] and an aspect ratio of 0.1-2 [0058] in order to shorten time of manufacturing [0057], optimize the reflectance and the susceptibility to being damaged during manufacturing [0058].

Fujii is silent to the type of surface electrode.

Hanoka discloses the surface electrode is generally in form of a grid comprising a plurality of fingers and bus bars (Fig. 2, 32, 30) wherein all the plurality of finger electrodes are directly connected to all of the surface bus bar electrodes in a symmetrical structure (Fig. 2) and the number and the widths of the bus bars and fingers are selected so that the area of the front surface exposed to solar radiation is maximized, while at the same time the surface electrode permit recovery of electricity from the cells (C1/L36-56), but does not explicitly disclose three bus bars and the widths of each bus bar and finger electrode.

Art Unit: 1725

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use the surface electrodes of Hanoka, in the device of Fujii, in order to permit recovery of electricity from the cells, and because it would amount to nothing more than a use of a known surface electrode structure for its intended use in a known environment to accomplish entirely expected result.

Also, as the amount of incident light and electrical output ability of the electrodes are variables that can be modified, among others, by adjusting the number of bus bar electrodes, with said incident light decreasing and electrical output increasing as the number of bus bar electrodes are increased, the precise finger electrode width would have been considered a result effective variable by one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. As such, without showing unexpected results, the claimed three bus bar electrodes cannot be considered critical. Accordingly, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have optimized, by routine experimentation, the number of bus bars in the apparatus of modified Fujii to obtain the desired balance between the amount of incident light and the electrical output of the electrodes to efficiently collect the electric current (In re Boesch, 617 F.2d. 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980)), since it has been held that where the general conditions of the claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. (In re Aller, 105 USPQ 223).

Additionally, as the amount of incident light and electrical output ability of the electrodes are variables that can be modified, among others, by adjusting the bus bar and finger electrode width, with said incident light increasing and

Art Unit: 1725

electrical output decreasing as the bus bar and finger electrode width is decrease, the precise bus bar and finger electrode width would have been considered a result effective variable by one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. As such, without showing unexpected results, the claimed bus bar and finger electrode width cannot be considered critical.

Accordingly, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have optimized, by routine experimentation, the bus bar and finger electrode width in the apparatus of modified Fujii to obtain the desired balance between the amount of incident light and the electrical output of the electrodes to efficiently collect the electric current (*In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d. 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980)), since it has been held that where the general conditions of the claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. (*In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 223).

Additionally, modified Fujii does not disclose a plurality of solar cells and show how they are interconnected to form a solar cell module.

Fukawa discloses multiple solar cells are connected in series and parallel in order to form a module that can produce a practical amount of electrical power [0013] and additionally discloses means for connecting the cells including connection tabs (17, Fig. 1-5), which covers and connects each of the top and bottom bus bars of adjacent cells (Fig. 1-5).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to connect the solar cells of modified Fujii, as taught by

Art Unit: 1725

Fukawa, in order to form a module that can produce a practical amount of electrical power.

Regarding claim 2, modified Fujii discloses all the claim limitations as set forth above and Fujii additionally discloses the solar cell elements each have a rectangular shape whose one side is not less than 100 mm and not more than 350 mm in length, and another side is not less than 100 mm and not more than 350 mm in length [0069].

Regarding claim 9, modified Fujii discloses all of the claim limitations as set forth above, but does not explicitly disclose the area of the contact surface between the finger electrodes and the semiconductor region is represented by S₁, an average value of distances between the edge lines of the contact surface within each cut surface formed by cutting at a plurality of cut planes that are generally perpendicular to the direction of electric current flowing through the finger electrode is represented by d_1 , and an entire length of the edge lines is represented by L₁, the solar cell elements each include at least one finger electrode where the values S_1,d_1 , and L_1 satisfy the following relationship: $0.5L_1(S_1*d_1^{-1}+d_1)^{-1} > 1.2$. As the amount of contact surface area between the finger electrodes and semiconductor region is a variable (both dependent upon edge lines and electrode width), that can be modified, among others, by adjusting semiconductor region roughness, with said contact surface area between the finger electrode and semiconductor region increasing as roughness of the semiconductor region is increased, the precise surface roughness would have been considered a result effective variable by one having ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 1725

at the time the invention was made. As such, without showing unexpected results, the claimed relationship between finger electrode size and surface roughness cannot be considered critical. Accordingly, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have optimized, by routine experimentation, the surface roughness in the apparatus of modified Fujii to obtain the desired amount of contact surface area between the finger electrode and semiconductor region (*In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d. 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980)), since it has been held that where the general conditions of the claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. (*In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 223).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed August 15, 2011 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding arguments that Hanoka presumably shows an optimized surface electrode, the examiner respectfully disagrees. This is not stated in the reference. Hanoka discloses the number and the widths of the bus bars and fingers are selected so that the area of the front surface exposed to solar radiation is maximized, while at the same time the surface electrode permit recovery of electricity from the cells (C1/L36-56), which presumably means Fig. 2 was optimized for that embodiment only.

Examiner notes that no exhibit was attached with the response, so this was not taken into consideration.

Art Unit: 1725

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALLISON BOURKE whose telephone number is (571)270-1232. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9am-5pm and every other Friday 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Basia Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-1453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,539 Page 9

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 1725

> /Basia Ridley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1725